### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	the	)	
Plaintiff/Counterclain v.	n Defendant,	) ) )	CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORE	PORATION,	)	ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND
Defendants/Countercl v.	aimants,	)	PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION, WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMPLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,	,	) ) )	
Additional Counterclaim Def	endants.	_)	Consolidated With
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	the	) ) )	
v. UNITED CORPORATION,	Plaintiff,	) ) ) )	CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287  ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
	Defendant.	_) )	
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	the	) )	CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
V.	Plaintiff,	)	ACTION FOR DEBT AND CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,		)	
	Defendant.	)	

UNITED CORPORATION'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
RE: Y-8 – WATER CLAIMS

### FINDINGS OF FACT

- The Court adopts its earlier findings of fact pursuant to its rulings relating to United's claim for the proceeds of the sale of water ("Water Proceeds") collected at the United Shopping Center for the period from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015. See Order dated November 9, 2020.
- 2. Specifically, the Court has found that "United had the right to control the Water Proceeds at the time of the conversion" and "the Partnership's interference caused damages to United since United was deprived of the Water Proceeds." *Id.* at 32.
- United is entitled to the Water Proceeds from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015.
   Id. at 34.
- 4. The Water Proceeds were generated from the sale of water to commercial water truck suppliers beginning in 1994. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf; 29:15-19.
- 5. Prior to 2000, there was a high volume of sales. After 2000, the sales continued but they had dropped in volume. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Maher Yusuf; 38:17-20 and Waleed Hamed; 52:24–53:3, and Exhibit 13 Hamed Response to Interrogatory 30.
- 6. Between 2004 and 2015, sales of water ranged between one to two trucks per day and five trucks per day. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Waleed Hamed; 53:4–17; Fathi Yusuf; 9:25–10:3, and Exhibit 13 Hamed Response to Interrogatory 30.
- 7. The price charged for water over this period was \$0.15 per gallon or \$15.00 per 1,000 gallons. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf; 6:4-18; Maher Yusuf; 35:20-36:1 and Waleed Hamed; 53:18-54:4.

- 8. The average size of the trucks held 5,000 gallons. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf; 6:18–7:1 and Maher Yusuf: 36:2-16; 37:23-11 and Exhibit 12 Declaration of Maher Yusuf, ¶1.
- 9. The average sale price for each truck was \$75.00. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf; 7:21-23.
- 10. At two, 5,000-gallon trucks per day, the Water Proceeds were \$150 per day (\$75 x 2) and \$54,750 per year (\$150 x 365 days) or \$4,562.50 per month (\$54,750 ÷12 months). *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf; 13:22- 14:6.
- 11. Using these calculations, the total value of the Water Proceeds for the 31-month period from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015 is \$597,687.50 (\$4,562.50/month x 131 months).
- 12. These calculations are consistent with Yusuf's recollection of a business record that reflected Water Proceeds of \$52,000 for 1997 and \$75,000 for 1998 from which Mr. Yusuf calculated an average monthly revenue of \$5,291.66 per month with a total of \$693,207.46 for the 131 period from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Fathi Yusuf;11:25-12:21; 13:1-8.
- 13. As Waleed Hamed has confirmed the volume of trucks in the 2000's was one to two trucks per day both in his Interrogatory Responses and in his testimony and confirmed the price was \$0.15 per gallon, the Court finds that the minimum amount due to United for the Water Proceeds from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015 is \$597,687.50. *See* Transcript, Testimony of Waleed Hamed; 53:4–17.
- 14. Fathi Yusuf's testimony relating to his calculations of \$693,207.46 based upon his recollection of a business record from 1997 and 1998 is consistent with the \$597,687.50

- valuation, albeit marginally higher. The higher value for the 1997 and 1998 valuation is consistent with the undisputed testimony from each witness that the Water Proceeds prior to 2000 were higher than after 2000.
- 15. The Court finds amount due to United for the Water Proceeds from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015 is \$597,687.50 based upon the undisputed testimony and historical trend for sales after the year 2000.

### **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

- 1. The Master concludes that United has proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Water Proceeds from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015 are at least \$597,687.50.
- 2. As the Virgin Islands Supreme Court has held, a party "is not required to establish her damages to an exactitude," and need only "prove her damages with as much certainty as the nature of the tort and circumstances permit." *Maso v. Morales*, 57 V.I. 627, 635-636 (2012). (citing to RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF TORTS § 912). All that is required is that the plaintiff adduce evidence that "lay[s] a foundation which will enable the trier to make a fair and reasonable estimate" of damages. *Id.* at 636 (citation and internal marks omitted). "To prove damages, plaintiff has the burden 'to establish a reasonable basis for computing damages.' Although there is not a single method for computation, and mathematical precision is unwarranted, the best possible evidence is considered." *Gourmet Gallery Crown Bay, Inc. v. Crown Bay Marina, L.P.*, 2019 WL 8883541, at \*6 (V.I. Super., 2019) (citation and internal marks omitted).
- 3. United offered evidence from Fathi Yusuf, Maher Yusuf and Waleed Hamed as to the Water Proceeds for the period of April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015.

- 4. Specifically, United offered the testimony of Waleed Hamed, who is opposing United's claim to the Water Proceeds. Waleed Hamed was the Manager of the Plaza Extra East Store during this period and was familiar with the water sales. Waleed Hamed has provided sworn testimony both in the form of Interrogatory Responses and testimony at the hearing, which confirmed that the average number of trucks after the year 2000 ranged from one to two trucks per day and he confirmed that the price charged was \$0.15 per gallon. *See* Transcript, Waleed Hamed testimony; 51:9-12 and Exhibit 13 Hamed Interrogatory 30 Response.
- 5. United also offered the testimony of Maher Yusuf, who was at the Plaza Extra-East location when the sales first began in 1994 and regularly after 2000. Maher Yusuf testified as to the price per gallon charged at \$15.00 per thousand gallons, the average size of the trucks at 5,000 gallons and the average number of trucks during the relevant period of time was between two to three and up to five trucks per day. *See* Transcript, Maher Yusuf testimony; 36:2-16; 37:23-11 and Exhibit 12 Declaration of Maher Yusuf, ¶1.
- 6. Moreover, United offered the testimony of Fathi Yusuf as to the average number of trucks, the price per gallon charged at \$15.00 per thousand gallons and the average size of the trucks at 5,000 gallons. *See* Transcript, Fathi Yusuf testimony; 6:4-18; 6:19-7:1.
- 7. Although there are some variations in the testimony, there is sufficient consistent testimony and evidence as to the price per gallon (\$15.00 per thousand gallons), the average number of trucks per day for the period in question (at least two trucks per day) and the average size of the trucks (5,000 gallons per truck) so as to establish a reasonable basis for computing damages for the amount of the Water Revenue for the April 1, 2004 to February 28, 2015 time period of at least \$597,687.50. This evidence is sufficient to enable the trier to make a fair and reasonable estimate of damages and to establish a reasonable basis for computing damages.

Further, because mathematical precision is unwarranted the use of average number of trucks per day and average volume size of the trucks is sufficient. Moreover, even if the price per gallon changed over this period, the evidence adduced demonstrated that all witnesses believed the price was consistently at \$0.15 per gallon over this period, even if there were fluctuations.

8. Hamed has contended that United's reliance upon the testimony of Fathi Yusuf as to a document that appears to have been lost is insufficient to demonstrate damages and should not be considered. "In a bench trial...the judge sits as fact finder" and "[I]n this role, the judge must weigh the evidence introduced by the parties, including the testimony of their witnesses" and can determine what weight the evidence should have. Sam's Food Distributors, Inc. v. NNA & O, LLC, 2020 WL 3086468, at \*11 (V.I., 2020). Further, there is not a single method for computation, and mathematical precision is unwarranted, the best possible evidence is considered. Here, Yusuf's testimony has been consistent as to the numbers that he saw on the paper in a ledger book maintained at the Plaza Extra-East location, which reflected the amount of Water Proceeds sent to the West Bank for charity in 1997 and 1998 and that despite his best efforts, he has been unable to locate the document to produce same. Further, Yusuf's testimony during the hearing and his responses to Interrogatories reflect that he was also relying upon Waleed Hamed's knowledge of Water Proceeds as Waleed Hamed was in charge of the Plaza Extra East Store where the sales took place. See Transcript, Fathi Yusuf testimony; 30:21-22 and Hamed Exhibit 2 – Yusuf Interrogatory 2 Response, p. 4-5 ("As Waleed Hamed was in charge of the Plaza Extra-East location where the sales took place, Yusuf will be seeking additional information from him as part of the written discovery propounded upon him."). Hence, even if the testimony relating to the Yusuf's recollection of the record reflecting sales in 1997 and 1998 is given less weight, United may prove its damages through various witnesses, including Waleed Hamed. Hence, United has provided sufficient evidence from the various witnesses to establish a reasonable basis for computing

damages for the Water Proceeds. Moreover, the admissions of a party opponent are reliable

evidence and binding upon the party. Sobratti v. Tropical Shipping and Const. Co., Ltd., 267

F.Supp.2d 455, 463 (D.V.I., 2003).

9. As to Hamed's evidence as to transactional costs such as employee time associated

with the sales, Hamed provided no information as to the value of the time per transaction or any

other calculation of off-set to be applied to the gross revenue of the Water Proceeds. Further, the

Declaration of Maher Yusuf in Exhibit 12 reflects that the transactional time per employee to

process the water sales was "less than a minute" and therefore, de minimus. See Exhibit 12, ¶¶ 2-

6. Hence, no reduction is warranted to account for transactional costs associated with the water

sales.

10. United is entitled to a recovery in the amount of \$597,687.50 against the partnership

for Water Proceeds from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015.

**DUDLEY NEWMAN FEUERZEIG, LLP** 

**DATED:** May 19, 2021

By: <u>s/Charlotte K. Perrell</u>

**CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL** 

(V.I. Bar #1281)

STEFAN B. HERPEL

Law House

1000 Frederiksberg Gade - P.O. Box 756

St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756

Telephone: (340) 715-4422

Facsimile: (340) 715-4400

E-Mail: cperrell@dtflaw.com

Attorneys for Fathi Yusuf and United

Corporation

7

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

It is hereby certified that on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2021, I caused the foregoing a true and exact copy of the foregoing **UNITED CORPORATION'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW RE: Y-8** – **WATER CLAIMS** to be served upon the following via Case Anywhere docketing system:

Joel H. Holt, Esq.

LAW OFFICES OF JOEL H. HOLT

2132 Company, V.I. 00820 Email: joelholtpc@gmail.com

Mark W. Eckard, Esq. **HAMM & ECKARD, LLP**5030 Anchor Way – Suite 13
Christiansted, St. Croix
U.S. Virgin Islands 00820-4692
E-Mail: mark@markeckard.com

The Honorable Edgar D. Ross

Email: <a href="mailto:degarrossjudge@hotmail.com">degarrossjudge@hotmail.com</a>

and via U.S. Mail to:

The Honorable Edgar D. Ross Master P.O. Box 5119 Kingshill, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00851 Carl Hartmann, III, Esq. 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, #L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq. C.R.T. Building 1132 King Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

E-Mail: jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

Alice Kuo 5000 Estate Southgate Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820

s/Charlotte K. Perrell

## Exhibit A

## Hearing Transcript as to Y-8 -Water Claim

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

MOHAMMAD HAMED, by his authorized agent WALEED HAMED, Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, )SX-12-CV-370 ) Pages 1-64 FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,) Defendants/Counterclaiments. )Full Caption )Continued On

#### TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

-)Next Page

### BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDGAR D. ROSS, RETTRED

DATE: Thursday, April 15, 2021 ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCING LOCATION:

REPORTED BY:

N. Antoinette Cérge CSR-California/RER-National Notary Public - St. Thomas/St. John P.O. Box 303426 St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands 00803 caribbeamsporting@yahoo.com 340.771.6008

#### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

MOHRAMAD HAMED, by his authorized ) agent WALEED HAMED, Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant, SX-12-CV-370

EXIHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION Defendants and Counterclaimants.

WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUREED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENDERPRISES, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

WALLED HAMED, as the Executor of the Estate of MCHAMMAD HAMED,

SX-14-CV-287 Plaintiff,

vs.

UNITED CORPORATION, Defendant.

MOHAMMAD HAMED,

Plaintiff, SX-14-CV-378

FAIHI YUSUF, Defendant

### Certified Copy

3

4

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant:

JOEL H. HOLT, ESO. P.C. BY: JOEL H. HOLT, ESOURE 2132 Church Street, Suite 2 St. Croix, Virgin Islands 00820

For Defendants/Counterclaimants:

DUDIEY NEWAN FEDERZEIG IIP BY: CHARLOTTE FERREII, ESQUIRE SIEFAN HERFEII, ESQUIRE 1000 Frederiksberg Gade St. Thomas, Virgin Islands 00804

Also Present:

Carl Hartrmann, Esquire Alice Kuo, Law Clerk

INDEX

WITNESSES DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS Fathi Yusuf 5 Maher Yusuf 34 Waleed Hamed 50 39 Waleed Harred 54 57

DEFENDANT EXHIBITS

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	IN EV.
12	Declaration of Maher Yusuf	40	62
13	Waleed Hamed Interrogatory Responses	51	62

### PLAINTIFF HAMED EXHIBITS

NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED	IN EVIDENCE
1	Yusufs' Accounting Claims and Propose Distribution Plan	ng Sed 1	25
2	Yusuf's Interroga Answers, 12-4-19	atory	25
3	2018 Interrogator Response	У	25
12	Declaration of Ma 7-6-20	her Yusuf	62

1 ST. THOMAS, VIRGIN ISLANDS; THURSDAY, APRIL 15, 2021 1 A May I answer? 2 MS. PERRELL: United calls Mr. Fathi Yusuf 2 Q Well, let me ask you some questions, okay? 3 to the stand. If the reporter can — well, I quess 3 All right. it's not a deposition. 4 So, Mr. Yusuf, are you familiar with how 5 Mr. Yusuf, would you raise your right hand. 5 much was being charged for the water when it was 6 FATHI YUSUF, sold to third parties like, you know, a water truck 6 7 having been first duly sworn, 7 company and so forth? 8 was examined and testified as follows: 8 Yes. 9 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION Q How much was it on a per gallon or per thousand gallon basis? 10 BY MS. PERRELL: 10 11 Q Can you state your name for the record. 11 A It's about, I understand any part is about A F-a-t-h-i, Fathi. Second name is 12 \$15 per 1,000. It's one-and-a-half cents a gallon. 12 Q All right. And to your understanding, is 13 Y-u-s-u-f, Yusuf. 13 Q All right. And, Mr. Yusuf, I know we've 14 14 that amount fairly consistent? It didn't change much over the course of - and when I say "this 15 discussed this before, but just to make sure that we 15 focus our testimony today, we're here today relating 16 period of time," I'm just gonna talk about that 16 to the claim that United has for water revenues, and April 2004 through 2015. 17 17 18 the Judge has issued various rulings, and so we're 18 A No, I don't think we ever change that. 19 limiting the testimony today simply as to what or 19 Q Okay. All right. And are you familiar 20 how much — what is the value of the water that was 20 with the size of the water trucks that would come 21 sold by United for the period April 1st, 2004, 21 and purchase the water from United? 22 through February 28, 2015. Okay? So my questions 22 A Yes. It varies. It's — the most — I 23 are going to be limited to just how much, okay? 23 would say very, very little 2,000 but higher A Okay. The amount is percentage of the 3,000 gallon. But the most 24 25 Q So -25 percentage was 5,000 gallons, and few of them will

7

1 be above 5,000, such as 6,000 up to 9,000 gallons.

2 Q All right. So is 5,000 gallons an average 3 that the water trucks, in your estimation, based on 4 what you've seen?

5 MR. HOLT: Objection; leading the witness. 6 BY MS. PERRELL:

Q What would be an average amount of — an average truck gallon size of the water trucks as you had observed and as you are aware?

A I would say around 15, 5,000 gallons a

11 truck.

7

8

10

12

13

19

Q Okay. And so had you done any calculations — well, let me ask you this.

At 5,000 gallons per truck, and if the 15 cost is \$15 per a thousand gallons, how much revenue 16 would United have received for one 5,000-gallon 17 truck?

18 Oops. You froze.

A Can you repeat the question, please?

20 Q Sure. It looks like your computer froze.

21 So how much revenue was a typical \$5,000

22 truck? How much would United be paid for one truck?

23 A \$75 a truck load.

24 Q Okay. And then, Mr. Yusuf, do you have

25 any understanding over a period of time of how many

1 trucks were getting — let's go back earlier, before

2 2004, okay, 'cause I know you were more familiar

3 with the stores at that point — how many trucks

4 were coming, in your estimation, during the late

5 1990s —

6 7

11

18

A It varies —

Q — per day.

8 A — based on the weather outside.

9 Sametimes we have as much as ten trucks, even more,

10 at least five or six truck in line to load.

Q Okay.

12 A Sometimes if it's raining, we have almost

13 zero —

14 Q Okay.

15 A — if it's raining. But I would say an

16 average between high and low, it may be five trucks

17 a day.

Q Okay. And that was in the late 1990s.

19 Are you aware as to whether that number of

20 average trucks increased or decreased in the period

21 of, let's say, 2004 and 2015?

22 A I understand that first when we started,

23 we have almost only one only private establishment

24 to the public besides Public Works or — not Public

25 Works, WAPA. WAPA normally sells water. We the

```
average during that period of time, from 2004
1 first one to sell water, and everybody was coming to
2 us. Later, as the years go by, it go less and less
                                                                      through 2015; how many trucks per day are you aware?
                                                                   2
3 and less because people start to open up their own
                                                                   3
                                                                           A I would say the same, five.
4 one, so that reduced the demand on our location.
                                                                   4
                                                                            Q Okay. All right. Are you aware as to —
         Q Okay. And after it was reduced, did you
                                                                   5
                                                                       well, let me ask you this.
6 have an understanding, and do you have an
                                                                                What would be - on the low end of your
                                                                   6
7 understanding of what the average would be after
                                                                   7
                                                                       estimation, what would be the number of trucks
   this, you know, other folks got into the market?
                                                                   8
                                                                       during that period of time?
9 You were still — United was still selling water; is
                                                                   9
                                                                           A See, I want you to be aware that during
    that right?
                                                                       this time I was always working on St. Thomas. I
10
                                                                  10
11
              MR. HOLT: Objection
                                                                  11
                                                                       just comes once a week every two weeks for three,
12
              THE WITNESS: Yes, it sell water. Yes.
                                                                  12
                                                                       four days, and when we - when I noticed that no
              MR. HOLT: Is there a timeframe for this?
                                                                       water was coming in, no money for the water we were
13
                                                                  13
14
              MS. PERREIL: Right. I'll back that up.
                                                                  14
                                                                       selling to the trucks, I tried to get a record of
                                                                       what we selling and, unfortunately, nobody would
15 BY MS. PERRELL:
16
         Q Mr. Yusuf, was United still selling water?
                                                                  16
                                                                       give me the record that we used to write down in the
17 And, again, the timeframe that matters for us here
                                                                  17
                                                                       receiving.
18 is from April of 2004 through 2015. Was it still
                                                                  18
                                                                                So I went to our record, and I find what we
19
    selling water?
                                                                  19
                                                                       send to the West Bank. I find only two years was sent
20
         A Yes.
                                                                       to the West Bank, one year - two years, which is
                                                                       '97 — 1997 and 1998, total of 102,000. That money, it
21
         Q Okay. And was it still selling water to
                                                                  21
```

22 these various water truck companies?

A At that time, yes.

23

8

9

10

13

15

16

25

24 Okay. And I know there might have been a 25 reduction. Do you have a sense of what was an

went to the West Bank from the water sale. 22

23 Now, I would like to note to you that this 24 money is being delivered to the receiver, but not too 25 much of people used to come and fill water, go to the

11 12

```
1 front, wait for the water, get a receipt and walk with
2 a receipt that he bought 3,000 gallon or 5,000 or 9,000
3 gallon. I don't think we have — I look at a record is
4 100 percent. Everything that I look at, it will not be
5 more than 40 to 50 percent.
```

Q Okay. So, Mr. Yusuf, let me just back up, though. We're going to get there with the things that you may have reviewed. But let me just back

You had said that you thought an average 11 was five trucks a day during this period 2004 through 2015. If you had to put sort of a bottom line on it, what would be, in your estimation, the lowest possible number of trucks on a per-day basis during that period?

MR. HOLT: Object to the form of the question; asking him to guess. He's already said he 17 18 wasn't there.

19 THE WITNESS: The bottom could be three or 20 two; when it's rainy days, zero. I can't really 21 predict one hundred percent how much.

22 BY MS. PERRELL:

23 Q Okay. All right. Mr. Yusuf, let me back 24 up.

In making this claim, when you made this

1 claim, did you review any records in order to 2 calculate what you understood would be the value of 3 the water loss for that period of time between

4 April 2004 and 2015?

17

18

19

20

21

5 A What I looked at is someboody — and I 6 think it is Wally handwriting or my son handwriting. 7 I honestly believe Wally handwriting, but my son says, no, that's his handwriting. Now, I can't say 8 9

10 But the number says we send 52,000 to the 11 West Bank in 1997 and 75,000 in 1998. That's the only 12 two years it was written in the books.

13 Q Okay. And so based on those years, how did you use those years' numbers to calculate what you understood would be the revenues for the 2004 15 16 through 2015?

A I add in the 52 and 75, I end up with 127,000. But I divide it on the total of 24 months, and it come up to 5,291.66. And this is exactly the number I use over calculating or guessing how much was directly or how much cash.

22 By the way, we used to give credit in the 23 major custamers. Marcos came -

24 Q Mr. Yusuf, yeah, I don't mean to interrupt 25 you.

```
1
             So it was 5,000 — I didn't hear the exact
                                                                  1
                                                                           (Reporter clarification.)
                                                                              THE WITNESS: 75 — that's a $150. 75
   number - per month. What was the total number of
2
                                                                  2
                                                                  3 times two is 150. 150 times 365 days, that's give
3
    months from 2004 through 2015?
        A I believe it was 131 months.
                                                                  4 you 54,750 a year.
                                                                  5 BY MS. PERRELL:
5
         Q Okay. And so what is the total
6
    calculation that you derived as a result of this
                                                                  6
                                                                          Q Okay. So 54,000 is two trucks a day.
7
    calculation? What's the total number?
                                                                  7
                                                                           (Reporter clarification.)
8
         A $693,207.46.
                                                                  8 BY MS. PERRELL:
9
                                                                  9
         Q Okay. Now, Mr. Yusuf, let me ask you.
                                                                          Q Mr. Yusuf, you and I, you know, we talk a
10 Going back to the statements you made earlier at $75
                                                                     lot together, and so we talk at the same time, but
                                                                 10
    a truck and a certain number of trucks per day, have
                                                                 11
                                                                     it doesn't do well for the court reporter. So I'll
    you done any calculations on how many trucks per day
                                                                 12
                                                                     try not to talk when you're talking; and if you can
13 there would be if there were only, let's say, two
                                                                 13 try to wait for me to finish as well. I know
14 trucks a day?
                                                                 14
                                                                     sametimes I interrupt you, so I apologize. I'll try
         A No. I just — I told you, I just follow
15
                                                                 15
                                                                     not to do it; it will mess up the court reporter.
16 with the sheet I sees in the book. I didn't follow
                                                                 16
                                                                     Okay?
    nothing else.
                                                                 17
17
                                                                         A That's fine.
18
         Q Right.
                                                                 18
                                                                          Q Okay. So let me just try to be clear.
19
         A I did not came up with any other numbers.
                                                                 19
                                                                               So when you did the calculation at two
20
         Q Right. But, Mr. Yusuf, let me ask you
                                                                 20
                                                                     trucks a day for an entire year, it would be $54,000
21
    this.
                                                                 21
                                                                      a year. Is that what you just calculatec?
                                                                 22
                                                                              I want to give exact number. It was
22
             Can you do the calculation for two trucks
                                                                          A
   a day, at $75 a truck on an annual —
                                                                 23
                                                                     54,750.
        A Yes, I can do that.
                                                                 24
                                                                              Okay. And so that number is very close
25
         Q Okay. All right.
                                                                 25 to — when you looked at the writing and it showed
```

13

15 16

1 then the number will go much higher when we reach

```
1 the number for 1997, what was the number for 1997
2 that you looked at?
3
             MR. HOLT: Objection; leading.
             THE WITNESS: No, no, no, no.
4
5
             THE COURT: Overruled.
             THE DEPONENT: No. I'm telling you, this
7 is two truck average a day times 365 days because we
8 do open 365 days.
9
             Now, same days we might not sell anything,
10 but some days we might sell 15 truckload. I just give
11 it based on the record —
12 BY MS. PERRELL:
13
         Q Right.
         A — two truck a day.
14
         Q Right.
15
16
             Mr. Yusuf, what I'm asking is simply the
   calculation that you just did, is it close to or is
18
    it similar to the number that you looked at for
    1997?
19
20
        A No. It is an average.
21
         Q Okay.
22
         A Because 50 — in 1997, it was 52,000. In
23 1998, it was 75,000. I total both; it came out to
24 127, and I divide it on 24 months. So if we say
```

25 this is for whatever we saying is only for 1997,

2 1998. That's not what I mean. I mean this is an 3 average. 4 Q Right. I understand. 5 And all I'm saying is, is that just 6 looking at two trucks a day is similar to what the 7 revenue was only for the year in 1997? Yes. 8 A 9 All right. When we talk about that 1997 10 number and the 1998 number, before 2004 was the water to be — what was supposed to happen with 11 those revenues prior to 2004? 12 13 A Before 2004, some of it, whatever went to the cash register stays in the business -15 Q Uh-huh. 16 A - and whatever went in the receiving, my 17 understanding that went to the West Bank. 18 Q Okay. And when you say the "West Bank," 19 was that for - what was the purpose of sending it 20 to the West Bank? A Well, I make a deal with 21 22 Mr. Mohammed Hamed that we have too much water, and 23 we will sell the water during the ten-year period 24 and we send the money for the needy of your family 25 and the needy of my family. And he said, that's a

- 1 good idea.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A And then we did, until the FBI raid us.
- 4 And we don't want to spread ourselves wide open to
- 5 the FBI; they might think that it was terrorists.
- 6 It was really to the needy, not to terrorists.
- 7 Q Okay. And so you understand that the
- 8 57,000 is the portion of the water sales that went
- 9 for charity; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes. I would estimate that is maybe
- 11 40 percent. I don't think it was a 100 percent -
- 12 Q Okay.
- 13 A because from experience of my partner, 14 unfortunate, they don't like to give money away
- 15 unless I insist it have to be done.
- 16 Q Okay. So, Mr. Yusuf, did the Hamed family
- 17 have the ability to utilize the water for their
- 18 households or for any tenants that they may have on
- 19 property?
- 20  $\,$  A Yes, they was hauling water all the time
- 21 for their personal use.
- 22 Q Okay. And you're not making a claim for
- 23 that; is that right?
- 24 A No, none of my claim is for that.
- 25 Q Okay.

A Plus —

1

- 2 Q Sorry. Go ahead.
- 3 A Plus I understand Wally have about eight
- 4 tenants. Whenever his cistern need water, he was
- 5 free to take it to that cistem. That is not in my
- 6 calculation.
- 7 Q Okay. And your family was able to use the
- 8 water as well, correct?
- 9 A Very little. You know why very little?
- 10 Because I have the largest cistem, maybe, for
- 11 residential use.
  - Q Okay.
- 13 A I have a cistem of 135 capacity —
- 14 135,000 gallon, supplied by a roof 12,500 square
- 15 foot.

12

19

22

- 16 Q Okay. But if you needed it, you could use
- 17 it from United?
- 18 A Sure. Yeah. I use it maybe once.
  - Q Okay. All right. So, Mr. Yusuf, when you
- 20 were making this claim, you put these claims in
- 21 various filings with the Court; is that correct?
  - A I didn't understand the question.
- 23 Q When you made the calculations as to how
- 24 much United would be owed, you put those
- 25 calculations in filings or papers that were filed

19

- 1 with the Court; is that right?
- 2 A Yes. I gave it to my lawyer, and the
- 3 lawyer, I'm sure, reviewed it.
- 4 Q Okay. All right. And so there's
- 5 really the numbers that you've calculated, I
- 6 think you had a number earlier that you mentioned
- $7\,$  was 693,000. Do you believe that to be a low end
- $8\,$  determination of what is owed to United, the
- 9 conservative?
- 10 A Very honest, is very, very low end, not
- 11 taking in consideration what went through the cash
- 12 register or I don't know. A sale could be made
- 13 and the money never been claimed. It just went
- 14 through the record in the book.
- 15 Q Okay. The other calculation could have
- 16 been taking well, let me ask you this.
- 17 Can you do a calculation with you said
- 18 an average was 35 trucks per day.
- 19 MR. HOLT: Your Honor, just for the
- 20 record, you know, there's been discovery in this
- 21 case asking for many calculations, and none of this
- 22 has been provided. And, you know, the time to
- 23 supplement discovery is long over with. I shouldn't
- 24 be learning you know what, I'll withdraw it.
- 25 I'll withdraw it. I'm sure Your Honor can figure it

1 out.

4

- 2 THE COURT: You may proceed.
- 3 MS. PERRELL: Okay.
  - Actually, Your Honor, I think we can do the

20

- 5 math, so I don't know if that's necessary for the
- 6 testimony, to do the math.
- 7 THE COURT: What's the question?
- 8 BY MS. PERRELL:
- 9 Q The question will be, Mr. Yusuf, what
- 10 would be an average I'm sorry.
- 11 What would be an annual revenue for water
- 12 trucks given five trucks a day at \$75 per truck?
- A You want me calculate it?
  - Q Yes, please.
- A Five times 75 is 375 times 365. That will
- 16 be one million 368 that's one million 368 and
- 17 75 cents. 368.75. And this is a very, very honest
- 18 calculation for a guessing. This is really, really
- 19 very honest. And never claim this, but this what it
- 20 is.

24

14

- 21 Q Mr. Yusuf, can you do that calculation one 22 more time? I think you might have misspoken.
- 23 A I did. Yes.
  - Q Okay.
- 25 A 136,875 no, no, no.

1 That's annually, right? A Yes. I calculate it first 136,875; that 2 represents 12 months. 2 Q Yes. 3 A Yes, annually. 136,875 per year. 3 O Correct. Q Correct. Okay. 4 A My mistake is I multiply that by 131 by 5 A And by 21 months, 131 a month, that's 5 month, and it's wrong. where I came to the million. It fool me. 6 6 Q Okay. 7 Q Okay. 7 A Okay. Let me see. 8 A 1,793,000 — what is this? One minute. 8 131 divide on 12. The answer is 10.91 times 9 136,875. One million 494 — one million 494. 9 No, there's samething wrong. Hold on, please. Q All right. Thank you, Mr. Yusuf. I think 10 136,875 by 131 - 1,793,000 - I don't know. I get 10 11 11 we got it. 12 Q Okay. That's all right, Mr. Yusuf. I 12 MS. PERRELL: Counsel, anything? Stefan, 13 anything further? 'Cause I feel like I have no 13 think we have the evidence out there. further questions. 14 A Bear with me. 14 MR. HERPEL: You can ask him for a 15 MR. HERPEL: Charlotte, were you asking 15 monthly or yearly? 16 calculation on the screen, if you want. 16 MS. PERRELL: I was asking yearly, to do a 17 MS. PERRELL: I think we're gonna submit 17 18 comparison of the different years, but I think it's 18 proposed findings, so I think the evidence is there. 19 getting a little bit muddled. So I think we can do 19 All right. I have no further questions from 20 the math. But, in any event, the evidence is out 20 Mr. Yusuf on the valuation. MR. HOLT: Before I ask Mr. Yusuf any 21 21 22 questions, I'm just wondering if we can get a 22 So I have no further questions. May I ask my stipulation on my Exhibit 1, which is just the

23

23

24

25

want to just -

23 24

1 Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 and see if you can stipulate to

2 them being admitted so I can — otherwise, I need

24 claim, the water revenue claim that was filed; and

25 Exhibit 2, which is his interrogatory answers about

1 the water claim; and Exhibit 3, which is the 2 statement that your firm made on behalf of him 3 regarding. 4 You want me to walk him through each one of 5 those or can we get a stipulation that these are what 6 were found? MS. PERRELL: Let me pull the exhibits up. MR. HOLT: You know what, let me share 8 9 file them, if that will work. I don't know if I can 10 get that to work or not. MS. PERRELL: Hold on one second. I got 11 it right here? 12 13 Okay. Yeah, you didn't — did you send me these? MR. HOLT: Yes. I told you I might use 15 16 things for impeachment. 17 MS. PERRELL: Oh. Okay. But those were 18 not previously forwarded. Okay. I'm sure there's 19 not an issue. I just wanted to double check. 20 MR. HOLT: You know what, I'm not sure 21 what I have here. I'm not able to share file it. 22 I'm not sure how to do it. Let me get Jay in here. 23 Hold on a second.

MR. HOLT: Charlotte, can you just look at

23 co-counsel — wait.

Q Mr. Yusuf, go ahead.

24 BY MS. PERRELL:

25

24

(Pause in proceedings.)

3 the witness to have it, which means I'd have to 4 drive it out to United. The judge has it and you 5 have it. 6 Exhibit 1 is the — actually, you actually 7 attached it to one of your exhibits — Yusuf's 8 Accounting Claims and Proposed Distribution Plan of the 9 water revenues. 10 Exhibit 2 is Mr. Yusuf's Interrogatory 11 Answers signed by him on December 4th, 2019, about this 12 claim. 13 And Exhibit 3, which we sent out a while ago, is a May 5th, 2018, Statement by you as to his claim. 15 MR. HERPEL: Charlotte, you're on mute. 16 MS. PERRELL: No problem with Exhibit 1; 17 it's excerpts of Yusuf's Accounting Claim Proposed 18 Distribution. 19 Do you want me to pull it up, Joel? 20 MR. HOLT: No. As long as you stipulate 21 to it being admitted. Like you say, we're gonna do 22 briefs. I don't need to ask Mr. Yusuf about it. I

MS. PERRELL: Okay. All right.

Exhibit 2 is Supplemental Responses to

- Discovery. Yeah, I see no problems with that. That's supplemental responses to discovery.

  And that was it, right? Was there a third one?
- 5 MR. HOLT: Exhibit 3, it came by email 6 after that, which is just your version of the same
- 7 answer that you sent over.
- 8 MS. PERRELL: It's the earlier
- 9 interrogatory response?
- 10 MR. HOLT: Yes, the one in 2018.
- 11 MS. PERRELL: Okay. Yeah, we have no
- 12 objections to those.
- 13 (Exhibits 1, 2, 3 in evidence)
- 14 MR. HOLT: Okay. So we'll move those
- 15 three in. And then I'll just have a few questions
- 16 for Mr. Yusuf.

#### 17 <u>CROSS-EXAMINATION</u>

- 18 BY MR. HOLT:
- 19 Q Mr. Yusuf, you talked about finding a
- 20 handwritten document that was, you said, either in
- 21 Walled's handwriting or Mike's handwriting; is that
- 22 correct?
- 23 A I don't know which one. I thought it's 24 Wally, but my son say it's not. I don't know.
- 25 Q And have you ever found that document?

- 1 A I gave it to my lawyer.
- 2 Q When's the last time you saw that
- 3 document? I'll represent to you, sir, it's never
- 4 been produced in this case.
- 5 A I don't know. I gave it to my lawyer on
- 6 time.
- 7 Q When is the last time you saw this
- 8 document?
- 9 A A few years. I don't know. I can't
- 10 recall when I see it the last time.
- 11 Q Did you say that you showed this exhibit
- 12 to your son, Maher?
- 13 A Well, you see, I did not show it to him.
- 14 He said that book was in the safe. I have no access
- 15 to the safe. The one who have access to the safe is
- 16 my son, Wally, and his brother. I don't have access
- 17 to the safe.
- 18 Q Okay. But at some point samebody showed
- 19 you the book, and you saw these numbers; that's
- 20 correct?
- 21 A No one showed me the book. I picked up
- 22 the book.

24

27

- 23 Q Okay. When did you pick up the book?
  - A Three, four years ago.
- 25 Q Okay. And did you make a copy of that

- 1 page?
- 2 A I did, but I can't find it.
- 3 Q And as we speak today you haven't found
- 4 it?
- 5 A I have not find it up to last night.
- 6 Q Okay. And so when you testified about
- 7 these two sales in 1995 and 1997, you're testifying
- 8 from your memory of what you saw in a book four,
- 9 five years ago?
- 10 A It's not the same, sir. It's just two
- 11 numbers, 75,000 sent to West Bank for water, 52,000
- 12 sent to West Bank for water, and deposit it. That's
- 13 all it have. That page, only that's all it have, no
- 14 any other explanation.
- 15 Q Okay. And if you can just go over what it
- 16 says again. It says 78,000?
- 17 A 1997, 52,000 was sent to West Bank; and in 18 1998, 75,000 was sent to West Bank.
- 10 1990, 10,000 100 9210 00 1000 2011
- 19 Q Okay. And is there any other language 20 other than those two on numbers on that page?
- 21 A Only two numbers, only two years.
- 22 Q Any other words other than the ones that
- 23 you just went over?
- 24 A No any other word in the whole page.
- 25 Q Okay.

- 1 A Nothing.
- 2 Q All right. Between the years 2004 and

28

- 3 2015, I take it the Feds were in possession of
- 4 overseeing the store in 2004 and 2005, during that
- 5 time period?
- 6 Were there federal officers in the store
- $7\,$   $\,$  in 2004 to 2010 overseeing the operations of the
- 8 store?
- 9 A I suppose so, yes. There was -
- 10 THE WITNESS: What do you call that, a
- 11 marshal?
- 12 MR. MIKE YUSUF: Yes.
- 13 THE WITNESS: A marshal, yes.
- 14 BY MR. HOLT:
- 15 Q Okay. Were you in the store on St. Croix
- 16 during that time period?
- 17 A I came to the store maybe once every two
- 18 weeks, not to do any work, just to come to the
- 19 office and look around; not to come to work, to
- 20 produce any work, 'cause my work really in
- 21 St. Thomas.
- 22 Q Okay. And so you didn't actually go
- 23 outside and deal with any of the water trucks that
- 24 came?
- 25 A I did personally? No.

- 1 Q Okay. And you indicated that the water sales in the 1990s, it had more water sales in the 2 3 1990s than you've had after that?
- A I have first we start, sir, trucks was in line. I tell you, trucks was in line. And after that, the demand for our water (inaudible).
- 7 Q All right. Let me see if I can recap what 8 you said.
- 9 You said that you started to sell water, and you were the first ones other than WAPA; and 10 11 therefore, in the 1990s, you had a lot of business. But at some point, the water companies started doing 13 their own wells, and you had less business; is that 14 correct?
- 15 A We start selling water in some time in '94 or, for sure, '95, because the store, after it 16 reopen, it open in '94, and we were selling water to 17 the trucks. I believe we was the only one selling 18 19 water besides the WAPA.

After that, business cease. We have a really 21 big argument. Start — some people start to dig well and offer the water for sale, or somebody use it for 22 23 personal use.

Q So were there less trucks after the year 25 2000 than before the year 2000?

1 A Yes.

4

5

29

2 Q For example, Marco Water, did they buy 3 water from you in the 1990s?

> Α Yes.

Q Have they been buying water -

A No. Excuse me. You keep saying "1990." 6

7 I was out of business in 1990, when it cames to

8 water business. I do not never offer the water

9 until after 1994.

Q Okay. 10

11 A I never offer the water for sale until we

12 rebuild the supermarket and we put up a big cistem.

13 And then I started to offer the water for sale, and

14 there we have really a lot of demand.

15 Q Okay. Between 1995 and 2000, did you sell 16 water to Marco?

17 A Yes.

Q And after 2000, did you sell any water to 18 19 Marco or did they open their own wells?

20 A I don't know. Wally is the one to answer 21 that question. He's the one was in charge of that

store. I was in St. Thomas. 22

23 I understand he was giving credit to Marco 24 and to some other truck drivers. They just come and

25 they just sign a receipt; and we'll send them a bill, a

31 32

1 statement, and then they end up paying. That's what my understanding from the people, Wally and my son.

- 3 Q And I take it if I went through the 4 different other trucking companies, you would say 5 the same thing; that you weren't here, so you don't 6 know what they were buying after 2000, correct?
- A Could you speak slowly, please, 'cause 8 each time you speak, I have to ask my son to tell me 9 what you say. To avoiding that, you need to speak a 10 little bit slower, please.

MR. HOLIT: Okay. Can we take just a 11 12 break? I may be done with the questioning. Can we 13 just take about a five-minute break, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Five-minute break.

MR. HOLT: Okay. 15

16 (Off record.)

7

14

20

17 MR. HOLT: I have no further questions.

MS. PERRELL: Your Honor, just a quick, a 18

19 few redirect.

### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

21 BY MS. PERRELL:

22 Q Mr. Yusuf, Attorney Holt asked you a 23 couple of questions as to your knowledge of the 24 truck and so forth during this 2004 through 2015 25 timeframe.

1 Do you have an understanding based upon

2 your caming to the store every couple of weeks and

3 your communications with your son as to the average

4 number of trucks?

5 MR. HOLT: Objection; calls for hearsay.

6 THE COURT: Sustained.

7 BY MS. PERRELL:

8 Q Mr. Yusuf, do you have an understanding

9 based upon your knowledge in continuing in the store

10 about the average number of trucks per day during

11 that timeframe?

A Maybe two, three, maybe four; that's what 12 13 my son told me.

14 Q All right. And you understood that based

upon regular communications as part of operating the 15

16 various locations, the United locations; is that

17 right?

18 THE WITNESS: What she said?

19 BY MS. PERRELL:

20 Q Okay. Mr. Yusuf, you can't ask anybody

21 else.

22 A No, no. I didn't understand the question.

23 Q Right.

24 You understand that those numbers, as a

25 result of — when you spoke with your son as part of

```
1 the normal operating of the United and Plaza; is
                                                                1
                                                                            You can hear me?
2 that correct?
                                                                2
                                                                            MS. PERRELL: I can hear you, yes.
        A About what, the water?
3
                                                                3
                                                                            MAHER MIKE YUSUF: I can't hear you guys.
         Q Yes.
                                                               4 I can't hear you. Oh, wait.
        A Yes, I see it from the receiving. There's
                                                               5
5
                                                                            MS. PERRELL: Can you hear us now?
                                                                            MAHER MIKE YUSUF: Now, yes.
a log in the receiving; I used to look at it. I
                                                               6
                                                                            MS. PERREIL: All right. Mike, can you
   could see it's not as much, but it's always -
                                                               7
8 there's no one day without selling water. Even
                                                               8 raise your right hand.
9 sometime during the rainy day, still selling water.
                                                               9
                                                                                         MAHER YUSUF,
        Q Okay. All right, Mr. Yusuf. I don't have
                                                               10
                                                                                  having been first duly swom,
10
                                                                             was examined and testified as follows:
11 any further questions.
                                                               11
12
            MR. HOLT: No further questions.
                                                               12
                                                                                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Yusuf.
13
                                                               13 BY MS. PERRELL:
14
             You may stand down.
                                                               14
                                                                   Q Can you please state your name for the
             MS. PERREIL: All right. Next United
                                                               15 record.
16 would like to call Mike Maher Yusuf to the stand.
                                                               16
                                                                      A Maher E. Yusuf. M-a-h-e-r, Y-u-s-u-f.
             Mike, maybe you should switch with your
                                                               17
                                                                      Q Okay. And —
17
                                                                      A I also go by Mike Yusuf.
18 father so you can be more in the screen.
                                                               18
             MAHER MIKE YUSUF: I'm right here.
19
                                                               19
                                                                        Q All right. Mr. Yusuf, what is your -
             MS. PERRELL: Okay. All right. Maybe we
                                                               20 during the period of, let's say, 19 — well, let me
20
21 should — can you adjust the screen so it's just you
                                                               21 ask you this.
22 in the screen, other than Mr. Yusuf? There you go.
                                                               22
                                                                            When did you start working in the
                                                               23 Plaza Extra East store?
                                                                     A 1991.
24
            MAHER MIKE YUSUF: Hold on, I'm not
                                                               24
25 hearing you. Hold on.
                                                               25
                                                                      Q Okay. All right.
```

33

35 36

1 to charge at, I believe it was \$15 a 1,000 gallon.

```
A I mean, I was there before, way before, in
2 '86. Yeah. I mean, I construct the place, so I was
3 there from day one. But I went to school in '96,
4 August '96 and came back in '91.
5
             MR. HERPEL: '96?
            THE WITNESS: '86. Sorry. '86.
7 BY MS. PERRELL:
         Q Okay. All right.
8
             MS. PERREIL: And just for other folks in
10 the room, unfortunately we have to have it where
11 it's just the person that is sworm testifying. So
12 just Mike's responses.
13 BY MS. PERRELL:
         Q Okay. And so during the time at
15 Plaza Extra East, did you have an opportunity to
16 observe sale of water to third parties -
17
        A Yes.
         Q — at the —
18
19
        A Yes.
20
         Q Okay. And do you have an understanding as
21 to what was the charge that United was charging
22 third party customers for water?
        A As per gallon or per truck or —
23
24
        Q Per gallon.
```

A Yeah. Well, per thousand gallons we used

2 Q Okay. All right. And did you have an 3 apportunity to observe the size and the trucks that 4 would come and fill up at the Plaza Extra East 5 location? 6 A Yes. We had trucks from 3,500. We maybe 7 had one truck that was a lot smaller. But most of 8 the trucks was from 3,500 to, I think, 8- or 9,000 9 gallon trucks; and sometimes the trailer tractor 10 comes with its trailer; that's like 8 to 9,000 11 gallons. 12 Q Okay. And so based on your observations, 13 what would you say was the average size of the 14 trucks that would come to fill up at the Plaza Extra 15 East store? 16 A Well, we did a lot of the 4,000 and 5,000, 17 and I would say we did a bit of the trailers. I 18 can't remember the trailers' exact capacity — 19 Q Okay. 20 A - maybe it was between 7,000 to 9,000. I 21 can't remember. 22 Q Okay. So with regard to the volume of 23 trucks, from your observations, what was the volume 24 of trucks after they opened up the - reopened after 25 the fire in 1994?

1 So let's say 1994, in the 1990's, what was the average volume based on your observations? How 2 3 many trucks a day? A It varied on the weather. Sametimes we had, when it was the dry season, like what we have 6 now, we would get - I mean, the trucks used to line 7 up to fill up water. 8 9 15 to 20 trucks a day when it's dry. But throughout 10 the whole year, we always sold water, even rainy 11 season, even regular season. But on a dry time, it was really heavy. I mean, it's hard to put a number on it, 13 but it was real high. 14 Q And then after the 1990s, did the volume 15 of truck sales start to drop? 16 A Excuse me, I didn't hear that. Q After the 1990s, there's been testimony 17 about this. Was it your understanding that the 18 19

I would say — shoot, I don't know — maybe average number or the volume dropped? A It dropped, yes. Q All right. And based on after — let me ask you this period. From 2004 through 2015, do you have an 24 understanding as to what an average number of trucks

20 21

22

25 per day volume was?

1 A It's hard to put a number on it, but it 2 was - you know, it was a frequent of four trucks, 3 four, five trucks per day. Except when it was dry, we would go up to about ten trucks a day. 5 Q And was that true even in the 2004 through 6 2015 timeframe? 7 A Yeah. Like I said, when it's dry, we had 8 trucks that would come a lot. A lot of trucks would come on the dry season, yes. On a regular time, day 9 in and day out, you would have between two to three 10 11 trucks a day. 12 Q Okay. All right. As far as the price, 13 you had said it was \$15 per thousand gallons. Do 14 you know whether that dollar number changed at all over the period of time from 2004 through 2015? 15 16 A I don't believe it changed; I'm not sure. I'm not sure if it changed when we started off, it 17 18 was lower or not. I'm not — I can't remember it being — I just remember the \$15 rate. 19 20 Q Okay. All right. And if it had changed, 21 would it have - if the \$15 rate was -22 What was the time period of that \$15 rate, 23 as you recall?

A I can't put a ... 24 25 Q Okay.

39

25

37

1 MS. PERRELL: All right. I have no 2 further questions. 3 THE COURT: Attorney Holt? MR. HOLT: Yes, briefly. 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. HOLT: Q Mr. Yusuf, would you agree with the 8 statement that water prices can vary depending upon the supply and demand? 10 A Yes, it varies from the supply and demand. 11 Yes, it varies on the weather, not on the supply and demand. 12 13 What you mean by supply and demand? Let's back up a little bit. It's usually on the weather. If the weather 15 16 is dry — and we always have that every year — there's 17 more demand on it. 18 Q Do you understand what's meant by the statement water prices can vary depending upon 19 20 supply and demand? 21 A Yeah. 22 Q Okay. And it's true that the prices will 23 vary depending upon the supply and demand?

A Yes. It's the same.

Q Can I have the witness shown Exhibit

24

1 Number 12 — the exhibit submitted by Mr. Yusuf this 2 morning. It's in the notebook entitled Y-8. THE COURT: What exhibit is it? 3 MR. HOLT: Exhibit Number 12, the 4 5 Declaration of Maher Yusuf, dated July 6th, 2020. THE CLERK: We only have the folder for 7 H-163. MR. HOLT: No. This would be the notebook 8 9 that was submitted this morning. 10 THE CLERK: We received two notebooks, 11 both for H-163. We don't have a notebook for Y-8. MR. HOLT: That's because I have both 12 13 notebooks. I'm gonna bring it right down to you. (Off record.) 14 MR. HOLT: Stefan, if you could show the 15 16 witness Exhibit Number 12. (Exhibit 12 marked for identification.) 17 18 BY MR. HOLT: Q Mr. Yusuf, do you have Exhibit Number 12 19 in front of you? 20 21 A Yes. 22 Q You see your signature on the bottom of 23 the page, July 6th, 2020? A Yes. 24

Q And the first paragraph says:

40

38

1		"United's water customers typically	1		Is that correct?
2		use trucks that could be filled up	2	A	Water prices, no, no, no, that's not
3		to a maximum of 5,000 gallons."	3	correct.	Water prices can vary, depends on supply
4		Is that the most that you would sell to a	4	and deman	nd, no. It's always been the same price.
5	trucker?		5	Q	So that statement that you signed in this
6	A	No. That's the majority of the truckers.	6	declarat:	ion is incorrect?
7	Q	All right. So most truckers weren't over	7	A	In that sense, yes. I overlooked that.
8	5,000, a	orrect?	8	Q	Okay. And then it says: "The price" —
9	А	No. It have truckers that's over 5,000,	9	A	The price never changed. The price was
10	the trai	lers. That's what I told you, the trailer;	10	always th	ne \$15 a 1,000 gallons.
11	that's a	truck.	11	Q	Well, let me read the entire sentence. It
12	Q	Okay. And are most trucks 5,000 or less	12	says:	
13	than 5,0	00?	13		"Water prices can vary depended
14	А	Most trucks are 5,000, 4- to 5,000.	14		upon supply and demand, but prices
15	Q	Okay. And it says:	15		for the 2004 to 2011 time period
16		"The charge for water now" $-$ and	16		would have been very close to 1.5
17		this is dated July 6th, 2020 — is	17		cents a gallon."
18		1.5 cents per gallon, which	18		Do you see that? Do you see that?
19		translates into a total charge of	19	A	Yes, I see that. Yes, I see that.
20		\$75 for a 5,000-gallon fill up."	20	Q	So do you want to take back the statements
21		Is that correct?	21	you made	that it was always \$15 or was it sometimes
22	A	Yes.	22	less than	n \$15 per thousand?
23	Q	And then it says:	23	A	It was always \$15.
24		"Water prices can vary depending on	24	Q	Okay. So this statement as worded is
25		supply and demand."	25	incorrect	t as well, correct?

43

A 1995, '96, I believe so. I believe it was

1996, 1997; is that correct?

2

```
supply and demand. I believe I was thinking -
 3
         Q But I'm talking now about the second part
 4
    where you said - the part -
         A Hello. Can you let me speak? I didn't
 5
    understand what you said.
         Q Okay. So you've already indicated that
    water prices can vary depending on supply and demand
 8
    is not correct.
10
              The next sentence:
              "The prices for the 2004 to 2011
11
              time period would have been very
12
13
              close to 1.5 cents a gallon."
14
              And you're now telling me that statement
    is incorrect as well, that it was always 1.5 cents
15
16
    per gallon?
              It was always 1.5 cents per gallon. It's
17
         Α
    $15 a 1,000 gallons; that's how I know it, $15 a
18
19
    thousand gallons.
20
              I maybe misunderstood supply and demand.
   Supply and demand, I felt that it was when there was a
21
    demand on water trucks. This maybe — I was not
    indicating as prices. Prices didn't change. It was
23
24
    $15 a 1,000 gallons.
         Q Okay. And that was the price in 1995,
```

A That phrase, yes. I misunderstand the

that price, if I'm not the mistaken. It could have been lower; I'm not sure. But I know it was always 6 Okay. But it might have been lower than \$15 in 1996? 8 I don't believe so, but I'm not — you A know, I can't remember back then what it was, but -10 Q Okay. - \$15 a 1,000 gallons is pretty low. 11 Α Q Okay. And did you stay at the Plaza East 12 13 store or did you move to the Plaza West store just 14 for a time period? 15 Yes, I did move to the Plaza West store. 16 And when did you move to the Plaza West 17 store? 18 Α When the store opened, I moved permanently 19 in 2000, November of 2000. 20 So from 2004 at least to 2012, you weren't in the Plaza East store on a daily basis, were you? 21 22 A No, but I know what's going on in the 23 operations. 24 Q Okay. But were you there on a daily basis 25 watching the truckers came and go?

1 A I was there frequently. I used to go to the back and pick up merchandise for the other store 2

3 or vice versa, bring merchandise.

Q You weren't there all day long between 2004 and 2012 to — 5

A No, no, I wasn't there all day long. 6 Nobody is all day long in the back, none of us, not the Hameds and not the Yusufs.

Q Okay. Who was there more often during that time? Well, you know what, I'll withdraw that. And then my next question is, did you hear your father talk about this piece of paper that had

these two numbers on it? 13

9

10

11

14

17

A Correct.

15 Q Have you ever seen that piece of paper?

16 A Yes, I have.

Q Where did you see that piece of paper?

18 A It was in the black book that we used to

19 keep a record of everything. We used to keep a

20 record of what, you know, the daily activities or

21 certain things that we used to do. Even used to

22 keep a record of the chips that we used to do

23 between each other.

24 Q I just want to focus on this record. 25

So you say you actually saw the ledger

1 entry that your father just mentioned with the two

2 numbers in it?

45

3 A Yes.

4

Q Whose handwriting was it in?

A I believe it was my handwriting. 5

Q Okay. And when was the last time you saw 6

7 that piece of paper?

8 A I'm not sure when. I don't know the years

9 back I saw it.

Q And do you know what happened to that 10

11 piece of paper?

12 A It should be - I mean, if I'm not 13 mistaken, you probably even have the records, too,

14 because it was part of the FBI records. I'm not

15 sure. I saw it a couple years back, but I can't

16 remember. I looked in the same black book; this

time I don't see those things. I was looking in my 17

18 computer to see if I could find it, but I did not

19 find it.

20 Q Just for the record, I can assure you I

21 don't have it or seen it, or I would have produced

22 it, because I would be required to produce it. And

23 I suspect that your lawyers hadn't seen it or they

24 would have produced it because they would be

25 required to produce it.

47 48

1 So have you ever seen, in the last four or

2 five -

3 MS. PERRELL: I would object to that.

4 That misstates. Anyway...

5 MR. HOLT: Well, have you seen this piece

6 of — I asked for it. Do you have a copy of it?

7 MS. PERRELL: I have seen the piece of 8 paper originally when it came in shortly before the

9 filing of the Complaint. I have no idea where it is

10 now. When we filed the September 2016 claims, that

11 was when we received a copy of that in support of

12 that particular claim. (Inaudible) all of the

13 documents and, I have not — we have looked high and

14 low for this.

THE COURT: Attorney, are you going to 15

16 testify?

MS. PERRELL: No, Your Honor. He asked a 17 18 question, and I — it's my mistake that the paper is lost, so I'm just trying to clarify that. 19

20 THE COURT: Well, you can testify as to 21 what happened to it. But please let's keep the 22 hearing as formal as possible.

23 MR. HOLT: Your Honor, just for the 24 record, I would like to at least formalize that, 25 rather than have testimony, just into a stipulation. 1 The parties could certainly stipulate to it.

2 Counsel indicates that she saw the record but has

3 been unable to locate it.

4

24

Can we have that stipulation?

MS. PERREIL: I will stipulate. 5

6 MR. HOLT: Okay.

7 MR. HARIMANN: Excuse me, Your Honor.

8 This is Cark Hartmann.

9 Since I was the person who did the discovery 10 on this, I'd like to ask one or two questions about 11 that stipulation if I could.

12 Since the Court issued a specific order with 13 regard to the discovery in this area requiring all

matters to be provided to us, we went through a whole

15 Rule 37 process, we went through a whole subsequent

16 production process, there's a supplemental production

17 process, and this is the first time that counsel has

18 ever suggested that she had that document or lost that 19 document.

20 If, in fact, that is the case, I would like 21 her to also produce attendant to that stipulation any 22 document or correspondence with us where she identifies 23 that they've only identified this document as not

produced, never in possession and lost. And this has

25 gone through three or four different iterations.

1 THE COURT: You will have the opportunity 1 do it. 2 to make all your arguments in terms of the validity 2 THE COURT: It's your claim. You may 3 or credibility of the evidence if you want. 3 present whatever evidence you want in support of MR. HARIMANN: Thank you, Your Honor. 4 your claim. MR. HOLT: All right. Just one last 5 5 MS. PERREIL: Okay. We'd like to call 6 Waleed Harmed to the stand. 6 question. 7 BY MR. HOLT: 7 Hang on. Let me pull up the exhibits. Q So, Mr. Yusuf, you indicated that when it 8 All right. 9 was dry like it is now, when it's try, that the 9 Mr. Hamed, could you please rate your right 10 trucks used to line up and there will be more of 10 hand. 11 them. Is that your testimony? WALEED HAMED, 11 12 A Yes. Yes. 12 having been first duly swom, was examined and testified as follows: 13 MR. HOLT: No further questions. 13 14 MS. PERRELL: I have actually no further 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 questions of this witness either. 15 BY MS. PERRELL: Q Could you please state your full name for 16 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 16 17 MS. PERRELL: All right. 17 the record. 18 THE COURT: You may be excused. 18 A Waleed Harred. MS. PERREIL: For this component, we don't 19 19 Q And, Mr. Hamed, it's true that you 20 need Mr. Yusuf, Mike Yusuf, any further. 20 primarily work at the Plaza East store, correct? We did want to ask a couple of questions of 21 A Yes. 22 Waleed Hamed. I don't know if you would like for me to 22 Q Okay. And you began at the Plaza Extra 23 put him up for purposes of cross or whether I should 23 East store, if I'm correct, I believe around 1986 or 24 just cross-examine him if Mr. Holt would like to go 24 so; is that right? 25 forward. I'll handle however the Court would like to 25 A Correct.

49

51 52

MR. HOLT: No objection.

Q All right. In Exhibit 13 we asked 8 questions relating to this claim regarding water

9 claim, and you indicated there that at its peak in

10 the 1990s, ten or more trucks a day, each with a

MS. PERREIL: Okay. Move to admit Exhibit

2 continuously until the partnership and the family 3 split; is that correct? A Yes. By this time period, I was in 4 5 St. Thomas. Q Okay. What was that timeframe? 7 A Probably '91. I would say '91 through 8 Q Okay. All right. You're familiar with 9 10 the sale of the water from the Plaza Extra East 11 location, correct? A Yes. 12 Q Okay. And isn't it true that you answered 14 same interrogatory responses relating to that? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Okay. If you could please look at 17 Exhibit 13.

Q All right. Exhibit 13 is certain

25 looks like it's actually the very last page. Do you

Do you see your signature on the - it

22 interrogatory responses that you provided in this

18

19

21

24

23 case.

A Yes.

20 BY MR. HOLT:

Q All right. And you were there

(Exhibit 13 marked for identification.)

Do you see that statement at the end of 14 the first paragraph under Rog 30?

11 capacity of 30,000 gallons delivered water St. Croix

15 A Yes.

1 see that?

4 Number 13.

12 residents.

6 BY MS. PERRELL:

A Yes, ma'am.

2

5

7

13

3

Q Okay. And that's correct, right?

16 17 A Yes, ma'am. Q Okay. And then you go on to say after 18 19 April 1, 2004, the funds generated from the 20 enterprise, you say, went to partnership versus 21 charity. That issue's been resolved.

22 But the next sentence is what I'd like to 23 attract your attention.

24 "The sale of water dropped off 25 quite dramatically for the

1 partnership in the 2000s." Q Okay. But is the price — what is the 2 Is that correct? price — what is the price that you were last aware 2 3 That is correct. 3 of? 4 Okay. All right. And then the last 4 A Probably 1.5 cents a gallon. 5 sentence, you say: 5 MS. PERRELL: I have no further questions. 6 "To get a sense of the scope, in 6 Thank you. 7 the 2000s, the partnership was 7 THE COURT: Attorney Holt? 8 sending out one to two trucks a day 8 MR. HOLT: I have no questions, 9 Your Honor. 9 to deliver water rather than the previous ten or more trucks." 10 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Harred. You may 10 11 That's correct, right? 11 be excused. 12 A Yes. 12 MS. PERRELL: Your Honor, we have no 13 further evidence relating to the value of the water 13 Okay. And you base these statements on 14 your observations having physically been at the 14 claim for the period April 1 through February 28, store during the 2004 through 2015 timeframe; is 15 16 that right? 16 Thank you. 17 17 A Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Attorney Holt, do you have any 18 Q Okay. With regard to the amount of the 18 witnesses? 19 charge, isn't it true that the charge for the price 19 MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor, just briefly. was \$15 per thousand gallons? 20 I'll recall Mr. Hamed. 21 A I don't — well, what time period you're 21 THE COURT: Mr. Harred, you've already been talking? 22 22 swom. 23 Q For the period 2004 through 2015. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION A At one time or another, the price has 24 BY MR. HOLT: 25 changed, but I'm not sure what year it changed. 25 Q Again, just for the record, can you state

53

55 56

```
2
         A Waleed Harmed.
3
         Q And you were the manager — one of the
   managers at the Plaza East in 2004 and 2015?
4
5
         A Yes.
6
         Q Who would actually deal with the truckers
    when they came to the site?
         A Truckers would be handled through the
8
    warehouse.
10
         Q And those employees would be employed by
11 who, the partnership?
         A By the partnership, yes, sir.
12
13
         Q And who would handle the funds received
    from the truckers?
```

- A Plaza Partnership employees.
- 15
- 16 Q And who would account for the funds
- 17 received by the partnership?
- 18 A Plaza Partnership employees.
- Q And who would pay the gross receipts on 19
- 20 any funds it received?

1 your name.

- 21 A The partnership employees — I mean the 22 partnership.
- Q And if there were any profits, how would 23 24 those profits be distributed?
- A Profits from what, from the partnership?

- 1 O Yeah.
  - A It would be through the partnership.
  - Q So if there was a profit on the sale of 3
  - 4 water, Mr. Yusuf would have received 50 percent of
  - 5 that because he was a partner in the partnership?
  - Α Yes. 6

9

15

19

sir.

- 7 Q And who paid for the electricity to
- 8 operate the pumps?
  - A Plaza Partnership.
- 10 Q And who would maintain the cistern and the
- 11 wells where the water was caming fram?
- 12 A The partnership.
- 13 Q And if a pump had to be replaced, who
- would pay for that?
  - A We did, the partnership.
- 16 Q If there were taxes — other than gross
- receipts, if there's actually income taxes -17
- 18 A Partnership also would pay that. Yes,
- 20 MR. HOLT: No other questions.
- 21 MS. PERRELL: Your Honor, I have some
- 22 follow-up. This is beyond the scope of my prior
- 23
- 24 THE COURT: You may cross-examine
- 25 Mr. Hamed as to his testimony at this time.

1 MS. PERRELL: All right. 1 owned the shapping center? 2 THE COURT: Go ahead. 2 A Yes. 3 MS. PERRELL: Thank you, Thank you, Your 3 Q Okay. And so are you aware as to whether or not the taxes that were paid ultimately were paid 4 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 5 for both income from the tenants as well as the income from the grocery store operations? 6 BY MS. PERRELL: 6 7 Q Mr. Hamed, with regard to the payment of 7 A I know that Plaza Extra Partnership, that any taxes, isn't it true that the partnership was 8 Plaza operations paid its own taxes. Under what 9 never determined to be a legal entity for the company, it was under United, I understand. 9 Q Okay. All right. And the payment of the purposes of paying taxes but that United corporation 10 10 taxes, ultimately the - whether United paid it or 11 paid the taxes? 11 12 A But that's semantics. I mean, we know we 12 whether, as you say, the partnership paid it, the 13 had a partnership. We were acting on behalf of payment doesn't change the fact that the revenue, 13 14 United just held the books. 14 whether it came in for United or whether it came in 15 Q Right. 15 for the partnership, would have to pay taxes, 16 But what I'm saying is, is that 16 correct? Samebody would have to pay taxes. 17 United Corporation is the only entity with a tax 17 A Yeah, someone would have to pay taxes, but 18 we paid our own taxes. 18 I.D. number, the only entity that filed a tax 19 return, correct? 19 Q Okay. And isn't it also true that with 20 A Yes. Yes. But Plaza Partnership, the 20 regard to the revenue, that the revenue that United 21 monies that were paid with taxes, United never paid 21 is claiming, right, that revenue would not change 22 them. Monies came to the partnership from the 22 regardless of how the taxes were paid? 23 proceeds of Plaza Extra Partnership, not United 23 A Revenue is what, ma'am? 24 owned funds. 24 Q The revenue for receipt of the income from 25 Q Okay. But isn't it also true that United 25 third parties, that doesn't change regardless of who 59

60

1 paid the taxes, correct? 2

4

11

12

15 16

17

A No, because it went through the

3 Plaza Extra Partnership, yes.

Q Okay. And when you say the distributions

5 happened, isn't it true that the partnership never

6 did at the end of the year an equal division of all

7 the profits; it was done on a monthly basis as

people needed funds? Isn't that correct?

A Not too sure if it was on a monthly basis,

10 but it wasn't done at the end of the year.

Q Right.

And it was not done where there was any 13 calculations, let's say, either monthly or at the end of the year, this is the total profits and we'll make sure and split it?

A I agree with you, yes.

Okay. So there was never necessarily some

18 ultimate distribution of income, net income to the

partners; it was certain partners would take money, 19

other partners would take money, and then that was

21 supposed to settle up as between you, correct?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Okay. All right. And the time it takes

24 for a Plaza Extra employee to process a sale is very

25 small, correct? — a minute or so?

A It would take time. Everything takes

2 time. I mean, it's an employee, will do their job,

whether it's in the front, the cashier, or whether 3

4 it's the warehouse guy who has to turn on the pump

5 or service the pump or take care of the pump.

MS. PERRELL: All right. I have no

7 further questions.

8 THE COURT: Attorney Holt?

MR. HOLT: Just briefly.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION 10

11 BY MR. HOLT:

9

15

23

12 Q So the tenant account was kept separate

13 than the partnership account that the store

operated, correct?

A Yes, sir.

16 Q It was all these payments to the

17 employees, the payment of electrical, gross

18 receipts, and the division of profits, that came out

of the partnership account, not from the United 19

20 tenant account?

21 A Not to the partnership, Plaza Extra

22 operations.

MR. HOLT: No other questions.

MS. PERRELL: We have nothing further, 24

25 Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hamed. You may	1	So, yes, I apologize for the delay.
2	be excused.	2	THE COURT: Thank you.
3	MR. HOLT: I have no other witnesses,	3	MR. HOLIT: Your Honor, do you want to take
4	Your Honor.	4	a lunch break or do you want to take a brief break
5	THE COURT: All right. That concludes the	5	before we begin H-163?
6	hearing as to —	6	THE COURT: How long is that going to
7	MR. HOLIT: Your Honor, I'd just like to	7	take?
8	make sure before we complete the hearing. So right	8	MR. HOLT: I don't know how long the
9	now we have Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, which I submitted,	9	evidence is going to take.
10	and Exhibits 12 and 13 which are from Yusuf; is that	10	I'd like to keep on going. Maybe we should
11	correct?	11	just take about a five or ten-minute break to regroup.
12	THE COURT: Yes.	12	THE COURT: All right. Take a ten-minute
13	MR. HOLT: Okay. I just want to make sure	13	break so we can at least organize our presentation
14	we have all the exhibits. I told the court reporter	14	better than we did with the first claim.
15	I'll get a copy over to her, and I'll make sure of	15	MR. HOLT: Oh, my gosh. Your Honor, you
16	that.	16	do understand the difficulties of the Zoom. I mean,
17	THE COURT: Do you accept the stipulation	17	if Charlotte and I could be in the same room as
18	as to what Attorney —	18	witnesses and you any —
19	MR. HOLLT: Charlotte, do you agree to the	19	THE COURT: If the attorneys would
20	five exhibits that we have in this —	20	remember they're not doing a deposition where they
21	MS. PERREIL: Ch, yes. I'm sorry,	21	converse with each other, and just consider
22	Your Honor. I didn't know there was a question.	22	themselves in the courtroom and addressing the
23	Yes, Your Honor, that's correct. It's 1, 2,	23	Court, we wouldn't have some of the delays we're
24	3 from Attorney Holt, and then Exhibits 12 and 13 from	24	having.
25	us.	25	MR. HOLT: Yes, Your Honor.
		63	

T	ing cooki: italik you, ie	inimide recess.	1	WINT REPORTER 2 CERTIFICATE
2	(Proceedings concluded.)		2	I, N. Antoinette Cèrge, Registered Professional Reporter
3	-0-		3	with the National Court Reporters Association; Certified
4			4	Shorthand Reporter, licensed in the State of California;
5			5	Notary Public in the U.S. Virgin Islands, do hereby
6			6	certify that the foregoing is a true and correct
7			7	transcript of the proceedings had in the within entitled
8			8	and numbered cause on the date hereinbefore set forth; and
9			9	I do further certify that the foregoing transcript has
10			10	been prepared under my direction.
11			11	
12			12	Dated: April 23, 2021
13			13	
14			14	C N D.
15			15	S. Artinette Cargo
16			16	N. Antoinette Cèrge, RPR/CSR
17			17	N. Allonette terge, New Car
18			18	
19			19	
20			20	
21			21	
22			22	
23			23	
24			24	
25			25	

## Exhibit 12

# United Exhibit 12 to the Hearing

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of t Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	he	)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim v.	Defendant,	) CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORP  Defendants/Countercla		ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, DECLARATORY JUDGMENT, AND PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION
V.		) WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAM MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAM PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,	,	) ) )
Additional Counterclaim Defe WALEED HAMED, as Executor of t Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,		_) Consolidated With
ŕ	District	) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287
v.	Plaintiff,	) ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND ) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
UNITED CORPORATION,		)
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of t Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	Defendant. he	_) ) ) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
v.	Plaintiff,	) ACTION FOR DEBT AND ) CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,		)
	Defendant.	
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,		) ) CIVIL NO. ST-17-CV-384
· v.	Plaintiffs,	) ACTION TO SET ASIDE ) FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS
THE ESTATE OF MOHAMMAD H Waleed Hamed as Executor of the Es Mohammad Hamed, and THE MOHAMMAD A. HAMED LI TRUST,	state of	
Defendants.		)
		and the second s

### **DECLARATION OF MAHER YUSUF**

I, Maher Yusuf, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and V.I.R. Civ. P. 84, declare under the penalties of perjury under the laws of the United States Virgin Islands, that the following is true and correct:

- 1. United's water customers typically used trucks that could be filled up to a maximum of 5,000 gallons, although there were some that were larger. The charge for water is now 1.5 cents per gallon, which would translate into a total charge of \$75.00 for a 5,000 gallon fill-up. Water prices can vary depending on supply and demand, but prices for the 2004 to 2011 time period would have been very close to 1.5 cents/gallon.
- 2. The switch that permits water to flow from the standpipe to a delivery truck that is located inside the Plaza Extra East warehouse is connected to a timer. The practice from the time water began being sold and the switch was installed is that sometimes the customer would turn the switch and timer on, and sometimes a Plaza Extra employee would turn the switch and timer on. Regardless of who turned the switch and timer on, the process would consume a few seconds. The timer would turn off automatically, upon expiration of the time that was set, and nobody would have to manually turn it off.
- 3. The standpipe itself required no maintenance.
- 4. The pumps that bring water from the cistern to the standpipe and into a delivery truck usually last for a number of years. It is possible that a pump used for water sales had to be replaced in the 2004 to 2011 time period and it is possible that Plaza Extra paid for a replacement pump.
- 5. The cisterns that hold water for water sales have not needed to be repaired since water sales began.
- 6. The amount of time that a Plaza Extra cashier would spend accepting a cash payment from a water customer and preparing a receipt is less than a minute. For those customers who were billed for water, the generation of a bill and its mailing would take no more than a few minutes of a Plaza Extra employee's time.

Dated: July 6, 2020

Maher Yusuf

## Exhibit 13

# United Exhibit 13 to the Hearing

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

**WALEED HAMED**, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,

r laintiin/Oodinterolaini Berendant,

VS.

FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION

Defendants and Counterclaimants.

VS.

WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.,

Counterclaim Defendants,

**WALEED HAMED**, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED, *Plaintiff*,

VS.

**UNITED CORPORATION**, Defendant.

**WALEED HAMED**, as the Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED, *Plaintiff* 

VS.

FATHI YUSUF, Defendant.

FATHI YUSUF, Plaintiff,

VS.

MOHAMMAD A. HAMED TRUST, et al,

Defendants.

Case No.: SX-2012-CV-370

ACTION FOR DAMAGES, INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Consolidated with

Case No.: SX-2014-CV-287

Consolidated with

Case No.: SX-2014-CV-278

Consolidated with

Case No.: ST-17-CV-384

PLAINTIFF/COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT WALEED HAMED'S RESPONSES TO FATHI YUSUF'S INTERROGATORIES 1-33 [sic] TO HAMED

September 30, 2016 Report, pages 2, 22. Finally, this claim is outside of the time period Judge Brady set for bringing claims against the Partnership. See Brady decision: *Hamed v. Yusuf, et al.*; SX-12-CV-370; SX-14-278; SX-14-287 Memorandum Opinion and Order Re Limitations on Accounting, July 21, 2017.

**ROG 30.** Identify all facts and circumstances which give rise to any dispute you have Yusuf s claims as to the Water Revenue from Plaza Extra East in the amount of \$693,000 and identify all documents relating to your dispute.

**Hamed Response:** Hamed disputes this debt. Yusuf contends that from April 1, 2004, all revenue from the sale of water that was collected by Plaza Extra-East was to be paid to United. The water sales actually did not belong to United, but to the Partnership.

A little background is in order. When the Partnership purchased the one-acre property at Plaza Extra-East, it also built, with Partnership funds, a 400,000 to 450,000 gallon cistern on the property. Additionally, one or two water wells also were used to fill the cistern. This was during the 1990s. At that time, there was a water shortage on island, WAPA had difficulty consistently providing water and, other than WAPA, there really weren't other companies selling water. The Partnership filled this gap by selling water, starting approximately in 1994. Yusuf is correct that during 1994-2004, the proceeds from the sale of the water went to charity (half to Mr. Yusuf's choice of charity and the other half to Mr. Hamed's choice of charity) and to pay the expenses of the water generation and delivery. At its peak, in the 1990s, 10 or more trucks a day, each with a capacity of about 30,000 gallons, delivered water to St. Croix residents.

After April 1, 2004, the funds generated from the enterprise went to the Partnership, rather than to charity. The sale of water dropped off quite dramatically for the Partnership in the 2000s. Competitors, such as Marcos and others, entered the

market. To get a sense of the scope, in the 2000s, the Partnership was sending out one or two trucks a day to deliver water, rather than the previous 10 or more trucks.

Eventually, Yusuf Yusuf was in charge of monitoring the water sales. For a time, water sales were recorded on hand written receipts, but there weren't any controls to ensure that the vendors did not take more water than they were billed. Wally Hamed then recommended, and Yusuf Yusuf implemented, a key being programmed into the register at the service desk to record the sales of water.

Hamed objects to this blatant claim by Yusuf & United for a number of reasons. First, neither United nor Yusuf has provided any document memorializing this so-called agreement between the Partnership and United because one does not exist. Next, Yusuf picks two years of sales, 1997 and 1998, and extrapolates those two years of sales into an average of \$5,291.66 per month and applies that monthly figure to every month from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015. As is explained by the chronology, water sales dropped off dramatically in the 2000s, so of course Yusuf picks two years of sales during the most profitable years. Third, Yusuf does not provide any documentation to support the sales for 1997 and 1998, nor does he provide any documentation to support water sales from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015, even though both paper copy receipts and information from the register could be generated to show actual sales. Fourth, and perhaps most galling, United doesn't have any right to the water, as it is Partnership water, so this really isn't money United is entitled. Finally, this leads to Hamed's belief that this is another example of what Special Master Ross described as "a transaction prohibited by law and tainted by a conflict of interest and self-dealing," when discussing the inflated rents United tried to collect on Bay 1. Yusuf is not fulfilling his fiduciary duty to the Partnership and instead is trying to loot the Partnership for his corporation, United.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Waleed Hamed's Responses to Fathi Yusuf's Interrogatories 1-33 [sic] Page 90

Note: ROGs 31 & 32 were revised by Yusuf on March 30, 2018 and will be answered separately.

Dated: May 15, 2018

Carl J. Hartmann III, Esq (Bar #48)

Co-Counsel for Plaintiff 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820

Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

T: (340) 719-8941/F: (212) 202-3733

Joel H. Holt, Esq. (Bar #6)

Counsel for Plaintiff
Law Offices of Joel H. Holt
2132 Company Street,
Christiansted, VI 00820
Email: holtvi@aol.com

T: (240) 772 0700/F: (24

T: (340) 773-8709/F: (340) 773-867

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Waleed Hamed's Responses to Fathi Yusuf's Interrogatories 1-33 [sic] Page 91

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 15<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2018, I served a copy of the foregoing by email (via CaseAnywhere), as agreed by the parties, on:

### **Hon. Edgar Ross**

Special Master % edgarrossjudge@hotmail.com

Gregory H. Hodges
Stefan Herpel
Charlotte Perrell
Law House, 10000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, VI 00802
ghodges@dtflaw.com

### Mark W. Eckard

Hamm, Eckard, LLP 5030 Anchor Way Christiansted, VI 00820 mark@markeckard.com

### Jeffrey B. C. Moorhead

CRT Brow Building 1132 King Street, Suite 3 Christiansted, VI 00820 jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF WORD/PAGE COUNT

This document complies with the page or word limitation set forth in Rule 6-1 (e).

Could, Had

Carly, Hard

Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Waleed Hamed's Responses to Fathi Yusuf's Interrogatories 1-33 [sic] Page 92

### **VERIFICATION**

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the facts contained in each of the foregoing responses to interrogatories are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I hereunto set my hand and official seal.

that he/she executed the same for the purpose therein contained.

Notary Public

NOTARY PUBLIC
JERRI FARRANTE

Commission Exp: September 3, 2019 NP-93-15

### IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	)
Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,	) CIVIL NO. SX-12-CV-370
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,	) ACTION FOR INJUNCTIVE ) RELIEF, DECLARATORY ) JUDGMENT, AND
Defendants/Counterclaimants, v.	) PARTNERSHIP DISSOLUTION ) WIND UP, AND ACCOUNTING
WALEED HAMED, WAHEED HAMED, MUFEED HAMED, HISHAM HAMED, and PLESSEN ENTERPRISES, INC., Additional Counterclaim Defendants.	) ) ) )
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	Consolidated With )
Plaintiff,	) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-287
v. UNITED CORPORATION,	) ACTION FOR DAMAGES AND ) DECLARATORY JUDGMENT
WALEED HAMED, as Executor of the	) ) )
Estate of MOHAMMAD HAMED,	) CIVIL NO. SX-14-CV-278
Plaintiff,	ACTION FOR DEBT AND CONVERSION
FATHI YUSUF,  Defendant.	) )
FATHI YUSUF and UNITED CORPORATION,	) )
Plaintiffs,	) CIVIL NO. ST-17-CV-384
$V_{\tau}$	) ACTION TO SET ASIDE ) FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS
THE ESTATE OF MOHAMMAD HAMED, Waleed Hamed as Executor of the Estate of Mohammad Hamed, and THE MOHAMMAD A. HAMED LIVING TRUST,	) ) ) )
Defendants.	)

DUDLEY, TOPPER
AND FEUERZEIG, LLP
1000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0756
(340) 774-4422



## Exhibit 3

# Hamed Exhibit 3 to the Hearing

Response To Hamed's Request For Interrogatories 2 through 13 of 50 Waleed Hamed et al vs. Fathi Yusuf et al. Page 2

## RESPONSE TO HAMED'S INTERROGATORIES 2 THROUGH 13 OF 50 - NEW CLAIM NUMBERS: Y-8, H-1, H-23, H-19, H-33, H-34, H-37, H-144, H-145, H-155, H-156, H-158 & H-160

Defendant/Counterclaimants Fathi Yusuf ("Yusuf") and United Corporation ("United")(collectively, the "Defendants") through their attorneys, Dudley, Topper and Feuerzeig, LLP, hereby provide their Responses to Interrogatory 2 through 13 of 50 as to New Claim Numbers: Y-8, H-1, H-23, H-19, H-33, H-34, H-37, H-144, H-145, H-155, H-156, H-158 & H-160.

### GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Defendants make the following general objections to the Interrogatories. These general objections apply to all or many of the Interrogatories, thus, for convenience, they are set forth herein and are not necessarily repeated after each objectionable Request to Admit. The assertion of the same, similar, or additional objections in the individual responses to the Interrogatories, or the failure to assert any additional objections to a discovery request does not waive any of Defendants' objections as set forth below:

- (1) Defendants object to these Interrogatories to the extent they may impose obligations different from or in addition to those required under the Virgin Islands Rules of Civil Procedure.
- (2) Defendants object to these Interrogatories to the extent that they use the words "any" and "all" as being overly broad, unduly burdensome, immaterial, irrelevant, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- Defendants object to these Interrogatories to the extent they seek information which is protected by the attorney-client privilege or work-product doctrine, including

DUDLEY, TOPPER
AND FEUERZEIG, LLP
1000 Frederiksborg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0756
(340) 774-4422

Response To Hamed's Request For Interrogatories 2 through 13 of 50 Waleed Hamed et al vs. Fathi Yusuf et al. Page 4

privileged, responsive information is discovered, these Interrogatories will be supplemented to the extent that supplementation may be required by the Virgin Islands Rules of Civil Procedure.

(8) Defendants object to these Interrogatories to the extent that they are compound and not a single Request. Hence these Interrogatories should be counted as more than a single Request such that when all of the subparts are included together with other Interrogatories they exceed the 50 Interrogatories allowed in the Joint Discovery and Scheduling Plan ("JDSP").

### RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

Interrogatory 2 of 50 - New Claim Number Y-08 - Old Claim #: Y's III.F

### Water Revenue Owed United

Describe in detail, by month, from Sept 17, 2006 to 2014, the amount of water sold to the Partnership, by whom it was sold, the number of gallons per month, the per gallon cost in each of those months, the total value of the gallons sold by month, year and total amount – and describe any ledgers, shipping invoices, receipts or other documents which support your claim as well as any witnesses who would have knowledge and what knowledge you believe they have.

### **RESPONSE:**

Defendants first object that this Interrogatory is unclear as it requests information about water sold "to the Partnership." United's claim against the Partnership is that the Partnership sold United's water from the Plaza Extra-East location. After May 5, 2004, the proceeds from the sale of United's water were to be paid to United, not the Partnership. Nonetheless, in an effort to respond to what appears to be questions relating to the support and calculations for water sales due to United from the Partnership, Defendants submit that the calculations set forth Yusuf's Amended Accounting Claims Limited to Transactions Occurring On or After September 17, 2006 ("Yusuf's Claims") were based upon two years of sales in 1997 (\$52,000) and 1998 (\$75,000) for an average of \$5,291.66 per month. As Waleed Hamed was in charge of the Plaza

DUDLEY, TOPPER
AND FEUERZEIG, LLP
1000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0756
(340) 774-4422

Response To Hamed's Request For Interrogatories 2 through 13 of 50 Waleed Hamed et al vs. Fathi Yusuf et al, Page 5

Extra-East location where the sales took place, Yusuf will be seeking additional information from him as part of the written discovery propounded on him. The number listed in the claims was the average monthly sales multiplied by 131 months demonstrating that United is owed \$693,207.46 from the Partnership for the water sales revenue from April 1, 2004 through February 28, 2015. Yusuf submits that discovery is on-going and that he will supplement this response as and when appropriate.

DUDLEY, TOPPER
AND FEUERZEIG, LLP
1000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0758
(340) 774-4422

Response To Hamed's Request For Interrogatories 2 through 13 of 50 Waleed Hamed et al vs. Fathi Yusuf et al. Page 25

undertook as the Partnership accountant, Hamed should be required to compensate John Gaffney for his time in researching and preparing those responses. Furthermore, many of these inquiries as to the Partnership accounting are duplicative of questions Gaffney has previously addressed at or near the time that the transactions took place. Reorienting now as to transactions from years ago constitutes an undue burden and causes unnecessary time and expense. If Hamed seeks to revisit these issues. Hamed should bear the cost.

DUDLEY, TOPPER AND FEUERZEIG, LLP

**DATED:** May 5, 2018

By:

CHARLOTTE K. PERRELL

(V.I. Bar #1281)

Law House

1000 Frederiksberg Gade - P.O. Box 756

St. Thomas, VI 00804-0756

Telephone: (340) 715-4422 Facsimile:

(340) 715-4400

E-Mail:

cperrell@dtflaw.com

Attorneys for Fathi Yusuf and United Corporation

DUDLEY, TOPPER AND FEUERZEIG, LLP 1000 Frederiksberg Gade P.O Box 756 St. Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0756 (340) 774-4422

Response To Hamed's Request For Interrogatories 2 through 13 of 50 Waleed Hamed et al vs. Fathi Yusuf et al. Page 26

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

It is hereby certified that on this  $\leq$  th day of May, 2018, I caused the foregoing a true and exact copy of the foregoing RESPONSE TO HAMED'S INTERROGATORY 2-13 OF 50 AS TO CLAIM H-143 to be served upon the following via Case Anywhere docketing system:

Joel H. Holt, Esq.

LAW OFFICES OF JOEL H. HOLT
2132 Company, V.I. 00820

Email: joelholtpc@gmail.com

Mark W. Eckard, Esq.

HAMM & ECKARD, LLP

5030 Anchor Way – Suite 13

Christiansted, St. Croix

U.S. Virgin Islands 00820-4692

E-Mail: mark@markeckard.com

Carl Hartmann, III, Esq. 5000 Estate Coakley Bay, #L-6 Christiansted, VI 00820 Email: carl@carlhartmann.com

Jeffrey B.C. Moorhead, Esq. C.R.T. Building 1132 King Street Christiansted, St. Croix U.S. Virgin Islands 00820 E-Mail: jeffreymlaw@yahoo.com

R:\DOC\$\6254\1\DRFTPLDG\17O5126.DOCX

DUDLEY, TOPPER
AND FEUERZEIG, LLP
1000 Frederiksberg Gade
P.O. Box 756
St. Thomas, U.S. V.I. 00804-0756
(340) 774 4422